Facilitating Performance Planning: Performance Liaison Role

Agenda/Outline - May 24, 2006

Time	Topic	Presenter/Facilitator	
1:00	 I. Welcome & Introductions Tool Kit Resource PPT Overview Tab D: Sample Performance F 	Helene Noble and Maureen Gormley Sue Fishbein Sue Fishbein & Jessica Smith Jessica Smith	
1:45	II. Exercise Purpose and Steps (Reference BIN List)	Deb Gardner	
1:50	 Facilitators distribute sample processions and rationale. Each participant is asked to id (Facilitators need to give the group will discuss "what regarding breadth of CE to ke one strategy they used to keep 	 Step 1: Participants divide into five groups-6 per table (approx.) Facilitators distribute sample position description (PD) to all participants. Each participant is asked to identify <i>at least three critical elements (CEs)</i> from the PD. (Facilitators need to give the group at least 5 minutes to do this.) Initially, three participants will be ask to identify one critical element and the rationale for selection. Others that identified different ones will be asked to offer their selections and rationale. Each group will discuss "what" and "how" many CEs they identified. Discussion regarding breadth of CE to keep performance planning simple and clear. Identify at least one strategy they used to keep the number of Critical Elements to 3-5 for reporting out. They will write and present in small group and be given feedback comparing to SMART 	
2:10		all group to larger audience. Question will focus on d for keeping the number of Critical Elements broad and cilitate this)	
2:20	Step 3: O Within each group participants need to work with their partner from shared ICs or find a partner and <i>choose one of the critical elements</i> identified as acceptable from the last round and ask them to <i>develop at least two outcomes</i> for one the critical elements. They are to develop at least one quantitative and one qualitative outcome. Facilitators need to refer them to the multidimensional graph that represents multidimensions for measuring performance outcomes as well as "SMART" model to evaluate clarity of measures.		

2:45 Step 4: Debrief from each table..report on dimensions used to develop outcomes measures and any key lessons learned about developing performance outcome measures.

Second Round:

- 2:55 Step 1: Instruct duos or dyads to *identify 3 critical elements* from the performance plan or a Position Description they brought from their IC to use in this exercise. Use that *one* CE and develop *three* performance outcomes.
- 3:20 Step 2: Debrief based on the following questions:
 - 1) What did you learn from this exercise?
 - 2) What was the greatest challenge?
 - 3) What do you still need to work on?

Key Points to be made again at the end of the session:

:

- Core processes should be identified as critical to address in identification of critical elements
- Critical elements represent activities that if not done implies they are failing to perform
- 3-5 is the limit of job-specific critical element and 3-5 outcomes per critical element
- Resources are available...use your content experts
- Be patient with yourself...this will take some time
- 3:45 Evaluation and Closing